


# आयुक्त का कार्यालय

Office of the Commissioner

केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील अहमदाबाद आयुक्तालय

Central GST, Appeal Ahmedahad Commissionerate जीएसटी भवन, राजस्त मार्ग अम्बावाडी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५.

GST Bhavan, Revenue Marg. Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015 Phone: 079-26305065 Fax: 079-26305136





## By Regd. Post

PPL/ADC/GSTP/1940/2021-APPEAL /3&1 70 % 2 iM-CGST-002-APP-JC-43/2021-22 and 12.10.2021 मिहिर रायका, संस्क आसूक आणि in Mihir Rayka, Joint Commissioner (Appeals) 1.10.2021 ZA240421184514R dated 27.04.2021 issued by II, Division-III (Sanand), Ahmedabad North I/s Intertech Engineers (Lalchand Yadav) GSTIN-24ABIPY6906E1ZG) Vithalpur, Vithalpur, Mandal, Ahmedabad, Gujarat- 82120 Id निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष Dider in Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate th of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act issues involved relates to place of supply as per Section
मिहिर संस्का, संस्क आसून अपील mi Mihir Rayka, Joint Commissioner (Appeals) सं Mihir Rayka, Joint Commissioner (Appeals) सं 10.2021 ZA240421184514R dated 27.04.2021 issued by II, Division-III (Sanand), Ahmedabad North I/s Intertech Engineers (Lalchand Yadav) GSTIN-24ABIPY6906E1ZG) , Vithalpur, Vithalpur, Mandal, Ahmedabad, Gujarat- 82120 कि निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष Order in Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate
iri Mihir Rayka, Joint Commissioner (Appeals)  1.10.2021  ZA240421184514R dated 27.04.2021 issued by II, Division-III (Sanand), Ahmedabad North  I/s Intertech Engineers (Lalchand Yadav)  GSTIN-24ABIPY6906E1ZG)  Vithalpur, Vithalpur, Mandal, Ahmedabad, Gujarat- 82120  ति निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष  Order in Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate
ZA240421184514R dated 27.04.2021 issued by II, Division-III (Sanand), Ahmedabad North I/s Intertech Engineers (Lalchand Yadav) GSTIN-24ABIPY6906E1ZG) , Vithalpur, Vithalpur, Mandal, Ahmedabad, Gujarat- 82120 ित निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष  Order in Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate
II, Division-III (Sanard), Arministration (Sanard), Arministration (III) (Sanard)
/s Intertech Engineers (Laichand Yadav) GSTIN-24ABIPY6906E1ZG) , Vithalpur, Vithalpur, Mandal, Ahmedabad, Gujarat- 82120 ल निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष  Dider in Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate
82120 त निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष Order in Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate
त निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष Order in Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate
above in terms of Section (1947) in the above in terms of Section (1947) in the life of Cost all shall be filed as prescribed under Rule 110 of Cost panied with a fee of Rs. One Thousand for every Rs. One lit involved or the difference in Tax or Input Tax Credit fee or penalty determined in the order appealed against, enty Five Thousand.  COST Act, 2017 to Appellate Tribunal shall be filed along a electronically or as may be notified by the Registrar, if APL-05, on common portal as prescribed under Rule 110 I be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against M GST APL-05 online.
relectronically or as may be notified by the Registral. PAPL-05, on common portal as prescribed under Rule 110. The accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against MIGST APL 05 online.  [ate Tribunal under Section 112(8) of the CGST Act, 2017.
Interest, Fine, Fee and Penalty arising from the impugned /accepted by the appellant; and twenty five per cent of the remaining amount of Tax in to the amount paid under Section 107(6) of CGST Act, the said order, in relation to which the appeal has been
Tax ( Ninth Removal of Difficulties) Order, 2019 dater the appeal to tribunal can be made within three months ion of Order or date on which the President or the State of the Appellate Tribunal enters office, whichever is later दाखिल करने से संबंधित ट्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम पावधानं ते www.cbic.gov.in को देख सकते हैं।

तिए, अपीलार्थी विक्षागीय वेबसाइट www.cbic.gov.in को देख सकते हैं। For elaborate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the pages authority, the appellant may refer to the website www.cbic.gov.in.

#### :: ORDER-IN-APPEAL ::

M/s. Intertech Engineers (Trade Name), Lal Chand Yadav (Legal Name), 0, Vithalapur, Mandal, Vithalapur, Ahmedabad - 382120 (hereinafter referred to as 'appellant') has filed present appeal against Order of rejection of application for revocation of cancellation of GST registration issued in form GST REG-05 bearing reference No. ZA240421184514R dated 27.04.2021 (hereinafter referred to as 'impugned order'), issued by the Deputy Commissioner, CGST Division III Sanand, Ahmedabad North (hereinafter referred to as 'adjudicating authority').

- The brief facts of the case are that appellant was registered under 2. GST, having registration number as 24ABIPY6906E1ZG. The appellant was issued a show cause notice on 16.12.2020 asking as to why registration should not be cancelled for not filing returns for a continuous period of six cancelled registration was months. Subsequently, the Superintendent, Central Goods and Service Tax, Range - II, Division - III -Sanand, Ahmedabad North Commissionerate vide Order reference No. ZA2412201154935 dated 29.12.2020. Thereafter, the appellant's application for revocation of cancelled registration has rejected by the 'adjudicating authority' vide 'impugned order' dated 27.04.2021.
- 3. Being aggrieved, the appellant filed the present appeal on 16.08.2021 against the impugned order, inter alia, contending that:-
- (i) they could not file the GST return in time because of financial problems due to Covid.
- (ii) at the time of revocation of registration GST officer has asked to pay interest but due to financial crisis they were unable to pay interest amount. Now, they have paid the interest amount.
- (iii) the appellant has filed the pending returns i.e. up to December 2020 (upto the date of cancellation of registration).

## Personal Hearing :-

4. The appellant vide letter dated 07.10.2021 informed that due to financial crisis they were unable to pay interest at the time of revocation of their registration, hence their revocation application was rejected vide 'impugned order'. Now, as they have paid the interest, the appellant vide said letter requested to pass the order without offering Personal Hearing to the pass the order without offering Personal Hearing the pass the pass the order without offering Personal Hearing the pass t

Page 2 of 7

### Discussion & Findings

5. I have gone through the facts of the case and the written submissions made by the appellant. Before deciding the matter it is to be verify whether the appeal has been filed within the prescribed time- limit or not.

The relevant statutory provisions are reproduced as under:

SECTION 107. Appeals to Appellate Authority. — (1) Any person aggrieved to	bу
any decision or order passed under this Act or the State Goods and Services Tax A	ct
or the Union Territory Goods and Services Tax Act by an adjudicating authority me	ıy
appeal to such Appellate Authority as may be prescribed within three months fro	m
the date on which the said decision or order is communicated to such person.	

(2)			•	,	,				•	•			
(3)	,												

- (4) The Appellate Authority may, if he is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal within the aforesaid period of three months or six months, as the case may be, allow it to be presented within a further period of one month.
- 6. I observed that in the instant case the appeal has been filed by delay from the normal period prescribed under Section 107(1) of the CGST Act, 2017. I find that though the delay in filing the appeal is condonable only for a further period of one month provided that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal is shown and the delay of more than one month is not condonable under the provisions of sub section (4) of Section 107 of the Central Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017.

In view of above I observed that the Appellant was required to file appeal within 3 months from the receipt of "the impugned order" i.e. on or before 27.07.2021, as stipulated under Section 107(1) of the Act. Further, I find that in terms of the provisions of Section 107(4) *ibid*, the appellate authority has powers to condone delay of one month in filing of appeal i.e. upto 27.08.2021, over and above the prescribed period of three months as mentioned above, if sufficient cause is shown.

**5.1** Further, I also find that the CBIC, New Delhi has issued Circular No. 157/13/121-GST dated 20th July, 2021 and clarified as under:-

4(c):- Appeals by taxpayers/ tax authorities against any quasi-judicial order:-Wherever any appeal is required to filed before Joint/ Additional Commissioner (Appeals), Commissioner (Appeals), Appellate Authority for Advance Ruling, Tribunal and various courts against any quasi-judicial order or where a proceeding for revision or rectification of any order is required to be undertaken, the time line for the same would stand extended as per the Hon'ble Supreme Court's order.

5. In other words, the extension of timelines granted by Hon ble Supreme Court vide its Order dated 27.04.2021 is applicable in respect of any appeal which is required to be filed before Joint/ Additional Commissioner (Appeals), Commissioner (Appeals), Appellate Authority for Advance Ruling, Tribunal and various courts against any quasi-judicial order or where proceeding for revision or rectification of any order is required to be undertaken.

and is not applicable to any other proceedings under GST Laws.

I find that Hon'ble Supreme Court vide above order extended the period of limitation prescribed under the law with effect from 15.03.2020 till further orders.

In view of above, and also looking into the **Covid-19 pandemic** situation in the instant matter, I am inclined to condone the delay of filing of appeal.

Accordingly, I am proceeded to decide the case.

- 1. In the present matter, I find that the appellant had obtained registration under the CGST Act, but had not filed returns for more than 6 consecutive months. Therefore, the appellant's registration was cancelled under provision of Section 29(2)(c) of the Central Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017(hereinafter referred to as 'CGST Act'), on suo-moto basis by the jurisdictional proper officer. On cancellation of registration, the appellant applied for revocation of the same before the 'adjudicating authority'. But, the same was rejected vide the 'impugned order' citing the reason of non-submission of reply within specified time by the appellant in response of Show Cause Notice dated 02.02.2021 issued consequent to their application for revocation of cancellation of registration.
- 8. Looking into facts of the case, I find it germane to refer the provisions of revocation of cancellation of registration. The relevant Section 30 and Rule 23 are reproduced as under;

## Section 30: Revocation of cancellation of registration.

(1) Subject to such conditions as may be prescribed, any registered person, whose registration is cancelled by the proper officer on his own motion, may apply to such officer for revocation of cancellation of the registration in the prescribed manner within thirty days from the date of service of the cancellation order.

Provided that such period may, on sufficient cause being shown, and for reasons to be recorded in writing, be extended,'

(a) by the Additional Commissioner or the Joint Commissioner, as the case may be, for a period not exceeding thirty days;

(b) by the Commissioner, for a further period not exceeding thirty days, beyond the period specified in clause (a)

Provided that the registered person who was served notice under sub-section (2) of section 29 in the manner as provided in clause (c) or clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 169 and who could not reply to the said notice, thereby resulting in cancellation of his registration certificate and is hence unable to file application for revocation of cancellation of registration under sub-section (1) of section 30 of the Act, against such

Page 4 of

order passed up to 31.03.2019, shall be allowed to file application for revocation of cancellation of the registration not later than 22.07.2019.

(2) The proper officer may, in such manner and within such period as may be prescribed, by order, either revoke cancellation of the registration or reject the application:

Provided that the application for revocation of cancellation of registration shall not be rejected unless the applicant has been given an opportunity of being heard.

(3) The revocation of cancellation of registration under the State Goods and Services Tax Act or the Union Territory Goods and Services Tax Act, as the case may be, shall be deemed to be a revocation of cancellation of registration under this Act.

### RULE 23. Revocation of cancellation of registration :-

(1) A registered person, whose registration is cancelled by the proper officer on his own motion, may submit an application for revocation of cancellation of registration, in FORM GST REG-21, to such proper officer, within a period of thirty days from the date of the service of the order of cancellation of registration at the common portal, either directly or through a Facilitation Centre notified by the Commissioner:

Provided that no application for revocation shall be filed, if the registration has been cancelled for the failure of the registered person to furnish returns, unless such returns are furnished and any amount due as tax, in terms of such returns, has been paid along with any amount payable towards interest, penalty and late fee in respect of the said returns.

Provided further that all returns due for the period from the date of the order of cancellation of registration till the date of the order of revocation of cancellation of registration shall be furnished by the said person within a period of thirty days from the date of order of revocation of cancellation of registration:

Provided also that where the registration has been cancelled with retrospective effect, the registered person shall furnish all returns relating to period from the effective date of cancellation of registration till the date of order of revocation of cancellation of registration within a period of thirty days from the date of order of revocation of cancellation of registration.

(2) (a) Where the proper officer is satisfied, for reasons to be recorded in writing, that there are sufficient grounds for revocation of cancellation of registration, he shall revoke the cancellation of registration by an order in FORM GST REG-22 within a period of thirty days from the date of the receipt of the application and communicate the same to the applicant.

(b) The proper officer may, for reasons to be recorded in writing, under circumstances other than those specified in clause (a), by an order in FORM GST REG-05, reject the application for revocation of cancellation of registration and communicate the same to the applicant.

Page 5 of 7

- (3) The proper officer shall, before passing the order referred to in clause (b) of sub-rule (2), issue a notice in FORM GST REG-23 requiring the applicant to show cause as to why the application submitted for revocation under sub-rule (1) should not be rejected and the applicant shall furnish the reply within a period of seven working days from the date of the service of the notice in FORM GST REG-24.
- (4) Upon receipt of the information or clarification in FORM GST REG-24, the proper officer shall proceed to dispose of the application in the manner specified in sub-rule (2) within a period of thirty days from the date of the receipt of such information or clarification from the applicant.
- On perusal of above Section 30(1), I find that subject to such conditions 9. as may be prescribed, any registered person, whose registration is cancelled by the proper officer on his own motion, may apply to such officer for revocation of cancellation of the registration in the prescribed manner within thirty days from the date of service of the cancellation order. Further, as per first proviso to Rule 23(1) of the CGST Rules, no such application can be filed in cases where registration has been cancelled for the failure of the registered person to furnish returns, unless such returns are furnished and any amount due as tax, in terms of such returns, has been paid along with any amount payable towards interest, penalty and late fee in respect of the said returns. Furthermore, as per second proviso to the said rule, it is provided also that where the registration has been cancelled, the registered person shall furnish all returns due for the period from the date of the order of cancellation of registration till the date of the order of revocation of cancellation of registration within a period of thirty days from the date of order of revocation of cancellation of registration.
- 10. I find that registration of the appellant has been cancelled w.e.f. 25.12.2020. On going through the status of filing of GST Returns, I find that the appellant had filed returns up to tax period December 2020 in the form of GSTR-3B and GSTR-1. It is obvious that the system does not allow for filing returns for the period falling after the date of cancellation of registration. Therefore, second proviso to Rule 23(1) mandates filing of returns for such periods within 30 days from date of revocation of cancellation of registration.
- 11. Further, I find that as per Rule 23(2)(b), the adjudicating authority was required to record the reasons in writing before rejecting the application for revocation of cancellation of registration. I also find that such reason has been recorded as the appellant has not replied to the Show Cause Notice dated 02.02.2021 within time specified therein. I find that the registration was cancelled due to non-filing of returns for consecutive 6 months. The appellant has pleaded that he failed to reply to department within mentioned time but now they have filed the pending GST returns and paid the Interest amount

Page 6 of

also. Appellant has also pleaded that due to financial condition, Covid situation they could not able to file returns in time. The appellant has requested to condone his above defaults and approve the application of revocation of cancellation at the earliest. At the same time, the appellant has declared that they have filed the pending returns i.e. up to December - 2020.

12. Looking into the facts involved in the matter as discussed in foregoing paras I am of the opinion that the appellant should not be made to suffer any more. Accordingly, I direct the adjudicating authority to take necessary steps to revoke the GST registration of the appellant. Needless to say, the appellant shall furnish all returns relating to period from the effective date of cancellation of registration till the date of order of revocation of cancellation within a period of thirty days from the date of order of revocation of cancellation of registration. Further, payment particulars (including tax liability, interest, penalty & late fee etc.,) and the status of returns filed may be verified by the concerned authority having power to revoke the cancelled registration. Thus, the appeal filed by the appellant is allowed in above terms.

13. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

18. The appeals filed by the appellants stand disposed of in above terms.

(Mifile Rayka)

Joint Commissioner (Appeals)

Date: 12.10.2021

Attested

(Dilip Jaday) 13 X Superintendent

Central Tax (Appeals)

Ahmedabad

Τd.

M/s. Intertech Engeneers (Trade Name),

Lal Chand Yaday (Legal Name),

0, Vithalapur, Mandal, Vithalapur, Ahmedabad - 382120

### Copy to:

1. The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.

. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Excise, Appeals, Ahmedabad.

The Commissioner, Central GST & C. Ex., Ahmedabad-North.

 The Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex, Division-III - Sanand, Ahmedabad North.

5. The Additional Commissioner, Central Tax (System), Ahmedabad North.
Guard File.

P.A. File